UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,874	04/19/2005	Ryuji Ueno	Q87423	5640
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/12/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	POLANSKY, GREGG		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,874	UENO, RYUJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGG POLANSKY	1614	

	GREGG POLANSKY	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejections FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co 35 USC 112 first paragraph reject lowable if submitted in a separate,	ion of Claims 1, 3-13, timely filed amendmer	16 and 17. It canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-13,16 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	,		
/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	/Gregg Polansky/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims requiring administration to a mammalian subject in need of reduction of body weight is new matter which lacks support in the Specification. Furthermore, this newly added requirement of the claims (i.e., subject in need of reduction of body weight) would require an additional search of the prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments to the 35 USC 102(b) and 103(a) rejections are not convincing, especially without entry of proposed amendments to Claim 1. Applicant argues "obesity and hyperlip[id[emia are different diseases and, therefore, an agent effective for treating hyperlipidemia is not necessarily effective for treating obesity". Applicant argues that the reference to Ueno et al. teaches treatment of hyperlipidemia and thus, does not teach treatment of obesity. This argument is not convincing because Ueno et al. teach the method is useful for reducing blood lipids in obese individuals and is therefore a treatment of obesity.

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614